

<b>Change Proposal – BSCP40/02</b>	CP No: 1412  <i>Version No: v1.0 (mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<b>Title</b>  Reporting of deleted BOAs within the SAA-I014 report	
<b>Description of Problem/Issue</b>  <p>BSCP18 ‘Corrections to Bid-Offer Acceptance Related Data’ allows for the Transmission Company to amend erroneous Bid-Offer Acceptance (BOA) related data after a Settlement Period has been completed but prior to the Initial Settlement (SF) Run. It is also possible for BOA data to be amended after the SF Run as a result of a Trading Dispute.</p> <p>When a BOA is wholly or partly deleted, the SAA-I014 ‘Settlement Report’ sub flow 2 (file ID S0142) report will continue to report the relevant BO3 ‘BMU Period Bid-Offer Acceptance’ record with the N0390 ‘CADL Flag’ and the N0546 ‘Acceptance SO-Flag’ fields populated as normal.</p> <p>However, the NETA Interface Definition and Design (IDD) Part 2 ‘Interface to other Service Providers’ document states that where an acceptance has been deleted the ‘CADL Flag’ field in the BO3 record will be listed as null. This is inconsistent with what happens in practice.</p>	
<b>Proposed Solution</b>  <p>We propose that the wording in the NETA IDD Part 2 document covering the reporting of deleted BOAs within the S0142 report is amended to remove this inconsistency. The proposed changes to the NETA IDD Part 2 document can be found in Attachment A to this form.</p> <p>To identify a deleted BOA (or the deleted part if the BOA was only part deleted), participants would need to refer to the relevant BO6 ‘BMU Period Bid-Offer Acceptance Spot Points’ records, which will be reported but with null values entered for the relevant N0026 ‘Bid Offer Acceptance Level Value From’ and N0027 ‘Bid Offer Acceptance Level Value To’ fields.</p>	
<b>Justification for Change</b>  <p>This change will remove an inconsistency between the wording in the NETA IDD Part 2 document and the Settlement Administration Agent (SAA) systems by aligning the document to what happens in practice. This will remove any potential confusion that this discrepancy may cause.</p> <p>We consider that it is more efficient to align the document to the system than the other way around, as participants will be used to the flow in its current format and their systems will already be set up accordingly. This will allow these inconsistencies to be resolved without needing to amend the S0142 flow within the SAA systems, which would involve associated costs and systems impacts.</p>	

**To which section of the Code does the CP relate, and does the CP facilitate the current provisions of the Code?**

This CP relates to the provisions for correcting data under Section U 'Provisions Relating to Settlement'.

**Estimated Implementation Costs**

£240 (1 man day) to implement the document changes.

**Configurable Items Affected by Proposed Solution(s)**

NETA Interface Definition and Design (IDD) Part 2 (proposed redlining in Attachment A)

**Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code**

None anticipated.

**Related Changes and/or Projects**

None.

**Requested Implementation Date**

6 November 2014 (November 2014 BSC Systems Release)

**Reason**

This is the next available Release that this CP can be included in.

**Version History**

Version 1.0 of this CP was raised on 2 May 2014.

**Originator's Details**

**BCA Name:** David Kemp

**Organisation:** ELEXON

**Email Address:** [elexon.change@elexon.co.uk](mailto:elexon.change@elexon.co.uk)

**Telephone Number:** 020 7380 4303

**Date:** 2 May 2014

**Attachments: Yes**

Attachment A: NETA IDD Part 2 draft redlining v0.1 (5 pages)